United States District Court

Middle District of North Carolina

JACOBO LOYOLA-DOMINGUEZ,)
Petitioner,)) 1:05CV328) 1:03CR373-1
٧.)
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Respondent.)

O-R-D-E-R

On October 21, 2005, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), the Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge was filed and notice was served on the parties in this action and a copy was given to the court.

Within the time limitation set forth in the statute, Petitioner objected to the Recommendation.¹

The court has appropriately reviewed the portions of the Magistrate Judge's report to which objection was made and has made a de novo determination which is in accord with the Magistrate Judge's report. The court hereby adopts the Magistrate Judge's Recommendation.

¹ In his Objections to the Recommendation, Petitioner apparently seeks leave of court to bring another claim, that is to say, that his "trial attorney failed to file a notice of appeal." The motion is DENIED. Petitioner appeared at trial and at sentencing *pro se*.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Petitioner's motion to vacate, set aside or

correct sentence [Pleading no. 1] be **DENIED** and that this action be dismissed with

prejudice. A judgment dismissing this action will be entered contemporaneously with

this Order. Finding no substantial issue for appeal concerning the denial of a

constitutional right affecting the conviction, nor a debatable procedural ruling, a

certificate of appealability is not issued.

Januar Loleen MITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DATE: February 16, 2006